

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,067	SHMILOVICH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643	

All Participants:

(1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(2) Mr. Robert Hughes.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2005

Time: =

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible 35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

8, 20, 21, 27, 36, 37

Prior art documents discussed:

Mayer Jr. (US 3,604,661)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative authorized a change to claim 37 reciting that operation of the nozzle section moves the discharge direction cyclically so as to dissipate a vortex. Additional agreed-upon changes correct informalities. See examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)